

## Bibliography

This bibliography identifies a variety of useful references that the Expert Panel consulted in the development of its report on research integrity. It does not attempt to present a comprehensive list of published works on the topic.

### English Resources

#### Reports—Canada

- Arthurs, H. W., Blais, R. A., & Thompson, J. (1994). *Integrity in scholarship: A report to Concordia University*. Montréal: Independent Committee of Inquiry into Academic and Scientific Integrity.
- AUCC (2008). *Momentum: The 2008 report on university research and knowledge mobilization*. Ottawa: AUCC.
- CIHR (2010). *CIHR research integrity files: Report on allegations of non-compliance with Tri-Agency policies (fiscal 2000/01-2009/10)*. Ottawa: CIHR.
- Conference Board of Canada (2008). *How to ensure ethics and integrity throughout an organization*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.conferenceboard.ca/documents.aspx?DID=2486>
- Cowan, J. S. (1994). *Lessons from the Fabrikant file: A report to the Board of Governors of Concordia University*. Montréal: Concordia University.
- Experts Committee for Human Research Participant Protection in Canada (2008). *Moving ahead: Final report*. Ottawa: Experts Committee for Human Research Participant Protection in Canada.
- Fisher, R. (2008). *Faculty participation in research at Canadian colleges: A national survey*. Ottawa: Canadian Council on Learning.
- Hickling Arthurs Low (2009). *The state of research integrity and misconduct policies in Canada*. Ottawa: Hickling Arthurs Low.
- Natalie Kishchuk Research & Evaluation Inc., & Gauthier, B. (2009). *Summative evaluation of the Interagency Advisory Panel and Secretariat on Research Ethics (PRE-SRE)*. Ottawa,: NSERC.
- NSERC (2010). *NSERC report on allegations involving non-compliance with research policies fiscal years 2006-07 to 2009-2010*. Ottawa: NSERC.
- NSERC, CIHR, & SSHRC (1996). *Framework for Tri-Council review of institutional policies dealing with integrity in research*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from

[http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/NSERC-CRSNG/Politiques-Politiques/frameworkintegrity-cadrereferenceintegrite\\_eng.asp](http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/NSERC-CRSNG/Politiques-Politiques/frameworkintegrity-cadrereferenceintegrite_eng.asp)

NSERC, CIHR, & SSHRC (2008). *Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on the roles and responsibilities in the management of federal grants and awards.*

Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/NSERC-CRSNG/Politiques-Politiques/MOURoles-ProtocolRoles/index\\_eng.asp](http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/NSERC-CRSNG/Politiques-Politiques/MOURoles-ProtocolRoles/index_eng.asp)

NSERC, CIHR, & SSHRC (2009). *Tri-Council Policy Statement: Integrity in research and scholarship.* Retrieved August 9, 2010 from

[http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/NSERC-CRSNG/Politiques-Politiques/tpsintegrity-picintegrite\\_eng.asp](http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/NSERC-CRSNG/Politiques-Politiques/tpsintegrity-picintegrite_eng.asp)

NSERC, CIHR, & SSHRC (2009). *Schedule 4: Integrity in research and scholarship.* Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/NSERC-CRSNG/Politiques-Politiques/MOURoles-ProtocolRoles/4-Integrity-Integrite\\_eng.asp](http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/NSERC-CRSNG/Politiques-Politiques/MOURoles-ProtocolRoles/4-Integrity-Integrite_eng.asp)

NSERC, CIHR, & SSHRC (2009). *Schedule 9: Transfer of funds from a primary institution to a secondary institution.* Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/NSERC-CRSNG/Politiques-Politiques/MOURoles-ProtocolRoles/9-TransferFunds-FondesTransferet\\_eng.asp](http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/NSERC-CRSNG/Politiques-Politiques/MOURoles-ProtocolRoles/9-TransferFunds-FondesTransferet_eng.asp)

NSERC, CIHR, & SSHRC (2010). *Update on strengthening integrity in research and scholarship.* Ottawa: NSERC.

NSERC, SSHRC, & AUCC (2008). *Review of NSERC's and SSHRC's policy framework for research integrity.* Ottawa: NSERC.

Pencharz, P. B. (2007). *An examination of research integrity issues pertaining to Memorial University of Newfoundland.* St. John's: Memorial University.

SSHRC (2010). *SSHRC report on allegations of non-compliance with research policies fiscal years 2006-07 to 2009-10.* Ottawa: SSHRC.

Thompson, J., Baird, P., & Downie, J. (2001). *Report of the Committee of Inquiry on the case involving Dr. Nancy Olivieri, the Hospital for Sick Children, the University of Toronto, and Apotex Inc..* Toronto: James Lorimer and Co.

## **Reports—International**

Committee on Publication Ethics (2000). *The COPE report 2000.* London: COPE.

ESF (2000). *Good scientific practice in research and scholarship.* Strasbourg: ESF.

ESF (2008). *Stewards of integrity: Institutional approaches to promote and safeguard good research practice in Europe.* Strasbourg: ESF.

ESF-ORI (2007). *Final report to ESF and ORI First World Conference on Research Integrity: Fostering responsible research*. Lisbon: ESF-ORI.

ESF-ORI (2007). *Research integrity: Global responsibility to foster common standards*. Maryland & Strasbourg: ESF-ORI.

Expert Advisory Group on Science in Society (2008). *Final report of the Expert Advisory Group on Science in Society*. Retrieved September 13, 2010 from [http://ec.europa.eu/research/fp7/pdf/old-advisory-groups/sis-thirdreport\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/research/fp7/pdf/old-advisory-groups/sis-thirdreport_en.pdf)

Expert Group on Integrity in Research (2007). *Integrity in research - A rationale for community action*. Brussels: Expert Group on Integrity in Research.

ICSU-CFRS (2008). *Statement on promoting the integrity of science and the scientific record*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://www.icsu.org/Gestion/img/ICSU\\_DOC\\_DOWNLOAD/2136\\_DD\\_FILE\\_CFRS\\_statement\\_research\\_integrity\\_09\\_2008.pdf](http://www.icsu.org/Gestion/img/ICSU_DOC_DOWNLOAD/2136_DD_FILE_CFRS_statement_research_integrity_09_2008.pdf)

OECD Global Science Forum (2007). *Co-ordinating Committee for Facilitating International Research Misconduct Investigations*. Paris: OECD.

UNESCO World Commission on the Ethics of Scientific Knowledge and Technology (2003). *The teaching of ethics*. London: UNESCO.

UNESCO World Conference on Science (2000). *Declaration on science and the use of scientific knowledge*. London: UNESCO.

UNESCO World Conference on Science (2000). *Science for the twenty-first century: A new commitment*. London: UNESCO.

## **Australia**

Australian Government (2007). *Australian code for the responsible conduct of research*. Canberra: Australian Government.

NHMRC, ARC & Department of Innovation, Industry, Science and Research (2009). *DRAFT- Proposal to establish an Australian research integrity committee*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/guidelines/consult/australian\\_research\\_Integrity.htm](http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/guidelines/consult/australian_research_Integrity.htm)

## **China**

Joint Committee for Promoting Research Integrity (2009). *Opinions on strengthening research integrity of our country*. China: Joint Committee for Promoting Research Integrity.

## **Denmark**

Danish Agency for Science, Technology and Innovation (2009). *The Danish Committees on Scientific Dishonesty*. Copenhagen: Danish Agency for Science, Technology and Innovation.

Danish Committees on Scientific Dishonesty (2008). *Annual review 2008*. Copenhagen: Danish Committees on Scientific Dishonesty.

## **Netherlands**

Rörsch, A., Thoenes, D., Houwink, E. H., Hofstede, M. R. J., Hanekamp, J., & de Lange, A. J. P. (2003). *A critical consideration of the verdict of the Danish Committee on Scientific Dishonesty on the book by Bjorn Lomborg 'The Skeptical Environmentalist'*: Cambridge: Heidelberg Appeal the Netherlands.

## **United Kingdom**

MRC/Wellcome Trust (2008). *MRC/Wellcome Trust workshop: Regulation and biomedical research*. London: MRC/Wellcome Trust.

RCUK (2008). *RCUK consultation on the management and governance of good research*. Swindon: RCUK.

The Royal Society (2006). *Science and the public interest - Communicating the results of new scientific research to the public*. London: The Royal Society.

UK Department of Health (2005). *Research governance framework for health and social care*. London: UK Department of Health.

## **United States**

AAAS (2009). *Research integrity*. Washington, DC: AAAS.

AAAS, & ORI (2000, April 10). *The role and activities of scientific societies in promoting research integrity*. Paper presented at the Role and Activities of Scientific Societies in Promoting Research Integrity conference, Washington, DC.

- Alexander, M., & Williams, W. R. (n.d.). *A guidebook for teaching selected responsible conduct of research topics to a culturally diverse trainee group*. Philadelphia: Children's Hospital of Philadelphia.
- American Academy of Arts and Sciences (2008). *Investing in early-career scientists and high-risk, high-reward research*. Cambridge: American Academy of Arts and Sciences.
- Beasley, M. R., Datta, S., Kogelnik, H., Kroemer, H., & Monroe, D. (2002). *Report of the Investigation Committee on the possibility of scientific misconduct in the work of Hendrik Schön and Coauthors*. New Jersey: Lucent Technologies.
- Center for Academic Integrity (1999). *The fundamental values of academic integrity*. Clemson, SC: Clemson University.
- Commission on Research Integrity (1995). *Integrity and misconduct in research*. Washington, DC: US Dept of Health & Human Services.
- Council of Science Editors (2009). *CSE's white paper on promoting integrity in scientific journal publications*. Wheat Ridge, CO: Council of Science Editors.
- DHHS Office of Research Integrity (2009). *Definition of research misconduct*. Washington, DC: ORI.
- ERC (2010). *The importance of ethical culture: Increasing trust and driving down risks*. Arlington, TX: Ethics Resource Center.
- ERC (2010). *Retaliation: The cost to your company and its employees*. Arlington, TX: Ethics Resource Center.
- Freeman, R. B., Weinstein, E., Marincola, E., Rosenbaum, J., & Solomon, F. (2001). *Careers and rewards in bio sciences: The disconnect between scientific progress and career progression*. Retrieved September 9, 2010 from [http://www.ascb.org/newsfiles/careers\\_rewards.pdf](http://www.ascb.org/newsfiles/careers_rewards.pdf)
- Michigan State University Research Integrity Council (2010). *Research integrity matters*. East Lansing, MI: Michigan State University.
- National Academy of Engineering (2009). *Ethics, education and scientific and engineering research: What's been learned? What should be done? Summary of a workshop*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- National Academy of Sciences (2009). *Ensuring the integrity, accessibility, and stewardship of research data in the digital age*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

National Science Foundation/Office of Inspector General (2009). *Memorandum in NSF/OIG semiannual report to Congress*. Arlington, TX: NSF.

Panel on Scientific Responsibility and the Conduct of Research (1992). *Responsible science* (Vols. I - II). Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

ORI (2005). *Case summary - Eric T. Poehlman*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://ori.hhs.gov/misconduct/cases/poehlman\\_notice.shtml](http://ori.hhs.gov/misconduct/cases/poehlman_notice.shtml)

ORI (2008). *Annual report 2007*. Rockville, MD: ORI.

ORI (2009). *Annual report 2008*. Rockville, MD: ORI.

Office of Inspector General (2009). *How grantees manage financial conflicts of interest in research funded by the National Institutes of Health*. Washington, DC: DHHS.

Public Health Leadership Society (2002). *Principles of the ethical practice of public health*. New Orleans: Public Health Leadership Society.

Resnik, D. B. (2009). *What is ethics in research & why is it important?* Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.niehs.nih.gov/research/resources/bioethics/whatis.cfm>

Sigma Xi, The Scientific Research Society (1999). *The responsible researcher: Paths and pitfalls*. Research Triangle Park, NC: Sigma Xi, The Scientific Research Society.

Steneck, N. H. (2007). *Introduction to the responsible conduct of research*. Rockville, MD: ORI.

Steneck, N. H. (2009, May). *Trends and updates: Research on research integrity* [PowerPoint slides]. Paper presented at the Research on Research Integrity (RRI) Conference 2009. Niagara Falls, NY.

U.S. District Court for the District of Vermont (2005). *Settlement agreement and stipulation for entry of judgment*. Burlington, VT: U.S. District Court for the District of Vermont.

### **Policies and Codes of Conduct—Canadian Post-Secondary Institutions**

Centennial College (2005). *Procedures for responding to inquiries related to integrity*. Toronto: Centennial College.

Dalhousie University (2001). *Policy on integrity in scholarly activity*. Halifax: Dalhousie University.

Lethbridge College (2008). *Integrity in research and scholarship*. Lethbridge: Lethbridge College.

McGill University Board of Governors (2007). *Policy on safe disclosure*. Montréal: McGill University.

McGill University Board of Governors (2008). *Regulations concerning investigation of research misconduct (Revised)*. Montréal: McGill University.

McMaster University (1993). *Research ethics at McMaster University*. Hamilton: McMaster University.

Memorial University (2001). *Policy statement on integrity in scholarly research*. St. John's: Memorial University.

Mount Royal College (2005). *Integrity in research and scholarship*. Calgary: Mount Royal College.

Niagara College of Applied Arts and Technology (2004). *Research integrity*. Welland: Niagara College of Applied Arts and Technology.

Ontario College of Art and Design (2004). *Research ethics policy overview*. Toronto: Ontario College of Art and Design.

Queen's University (2009). *Senate policy on integrity in research*. Kingston: Queen's University.

SIAST (2008). *Applied research integrity*. Saskatoon: SIAST.

University of Alberta (2002). *Conflict policy - Conflict of interest and commitment and institutional conflict*. Edmonton: University of Alberta.

University of Alberta (2004). *University of Alberta research and scholarship integrity policy*. Edmonton: University of Alberta.

University of British Columbia Board of Governors (1995). *Research*. Vancouver: University of British Columbia.

University of British Columbia Board of Governors (2005). *Scholarly integrity*. Vancouver: University of British Columbia.

University of Calgary (1977). *Research policy*. Calgary: University of Calgary.

University of Calgary (1995). *Integrity in scholarly activity policy*. Calgary: University of Calgary.

University of Manitoba (1991). *University of Manitoba policy on academic fraud*. Winnipeg: University of Manitoba.

University of Ottawa (2009). *Conflict of interest - Members of staff*. Ottawa: University of Ottawa.

University of Prince Edward Island (2006). *Integrity in research and scholarly work policy*. Charlottetown: University of Prince Edward Island.

University of Saskatchewan (1993). *Misconduct in scholarly work*. Saskatoon: University of Saskatchewan.

University of Toronto (2006). *Framework to address allegations of research misconduct*. Toronto: University of Toronto.

University of Toronto Governing Council (1991). *Policy on ethical conduct in research*. Toronto: University of Toronto.

University of Toronto Governing Council (1995). *Code of behavior on academic matters*. Toronto: University of Toronto.

University of Toronto Governing Council (2007). *Publication policy*. Toronto: University of Toronto.

University of Waterloo (1994). *Integrity in research administrative guidelines*. Waterloo: University of Waterloo.

University of Western Ontario (2008). *Policy and procedures for the conduct of research*. London: University of Western Ontario.

Waterloo Graduate Studies Office (2007). *A guide for graduate research and supervision at the University of Waterloo*. Waterloo: University of Waterloo.

## **Policies and Codes of Conduct—Other Organizations**

### **Canada**

Association pour la recherche au collégial (2009). *Writing an institutional research policy*. Montréal: Association pour la recherche au collégial.

CAUT (2004). *Model clause on fraud and misconduct in academic research and scholarly activity*. Ottawa: CAUT.

Interagency Advisory Panel on Research Ethics (2008). *Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical conduct for research involving humans* (Draft 2nd Edition). Retrieved September 8, 2010 from



[http://www.pre.ethics.gc.ca/pdf/eng/Revised%20Draft%202nd%20Ed%20PDFs/Revised%20Draft%202nd%20Edition%20TCPS\\_EN.pdf](http://www.pre.ethics.gc.ca/pdf/eng/Revised%20Draft%202nd%20Ed%20PDFs/Revised%20Draft%202nd%20Edition%20TCPS_EN.pdf)

Justice Institute of British Columbia (1989). *Conflict of interest and standards of ethical conduct*. Vancouver: Justice Institute of British Columbia.

Justice Institute of British Columbia (2000). *Intellectual property rights*. Vancouver: Justice Institute of British Columbia.

Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat (2008). *Implementation guide for the policy: Title to intellectual property arising under Crown procurement contracts*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/pubs\\_pol/dcgpubs/contracting/tipaucpc\\_ig2-eng.asp](http://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/pubs_pol/dcgpubs/contracting/tipaucpc_ig2-eng.asp)

## **International**

ESF-ALLEA (2009, September). *Code of conduct for scientific integrity*. Paper presented at the Responsible Conduct of Research: Good Research Practices and Research Integrity Training, Strasbourg, France.

OECD (2007). *Best practices for ensuring scientific integrity and preventing misconduct*. Paris: OECD.

OECD (2009). *Investigating research misconduct allegations in international collaborative research projects - A practical guide*. Paris: OECD.

UNESCO (2005). *Universal declaration on bioethics and human rights*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-URL\\_ID=31058&URL\\_DO=DO\\_TOPIC&URL\\_SECTION=201.html](http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-URL_ID=31058&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html)

## **Croatia**

Committee for Ethics in Science and Higher Education (2006). *CESHE ethics code*. Croatia: Committee for Ethics in Science and Higher Education.

## **Czech Republic**

Committee for Scientific Integrity (2006). *Code of ethics for researchers of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic*. Czech Republic: Committee for Scientific Integrity.

## **Estonia**

Estonian Academy of Science (2002). *Code of ethics for Estonian scientists*.

Retrieved September 8, 2010 from  
[http://www.akadeemia.ee/\\_repository/File/ALUSDOKUD/Code-ethics.pdf](http://www.akadeemia.ee/_repository/File/ALUSDOKUD/Code-ethics.pdf)

## **Finland**

National Advisory Board on Ethics (2002). *Good scientific practice and procedures for handling misconduct and fraud in science*. Helsinki: National Advisory Board on Ethics.

## **Germany**

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (1998). *Proposals for safeguarding good scientific practice*. Bonn: Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

Max Planck Society (2000). *Rules of good scientific practice*. Munich: Max Planck Society.

Max Planck Society (2000). *Rules of good scientific practice & rules of procedure in cases of suspected misconduct*. Munich: Max Planck Society.

## **Latvia**

Latvian Council of Science (1997). *Scientist's code of ethics*. Latvia: Latvian Council of Science.

## **Netherlands**

National Board for Scientific Integrity (2001). *Notitie Wetenschappelijke Integriteit (Scientific Integrity Memorandum)*. Netherlands: National Board for Scientific Integrity.

## **Norway**

The National Committees for Research Ethics (2006). *Act of 30 June 2006 No. 56 on ethics and integrity in research*. Norway: The National Committees for Research Ethics.

## **Poland**

Polish Academy of Sciences Committee for Ethics in Science (1994). *Good manners in science, a set of principles and guidelines* (Third ed.). Warsaw: Polish Academy of Sciences Committee for Ethics in Science.

## **Spain**

Barcelona Biomedical Research Park (2007). *Code of good scientific practice*. Barcelona: Barcelona Biomedical Research Park.

## **Sweden**

The Swedish Research Council (2005). *Good research practice - What is it? Views, guidelines and examples*. Stockholm: The Swedish Research Council.

## **Switzerland**

Swiss Academies of Arts and Sciences (2008). *Integrity in scientific research: Principles and procedures*. Berne: Swiss Academies of Arts and Sciences.

Swiss National Science Foundation (2005). *Statement of SNF position on scientific misconduct*. Berne: Swiss National Science Foundation.

## **United Kingdom**

BBSRC (1998). *BBSRC statement on safeguarding good scientific practice*. Swindon: BBSRC.

Natural Environment Research Council (n.d.). *Ethics policy*. Swindon: Natural Environment Research Council.

Research Councils UK (2009). *Governance of good research conduct: Consultation on a code of conduct and policy*. London: RCUK.

Research Councils UK (2009). *RCUK policy and code of conduct on the governance of good research conduct - Integrity, clarity and good management*. London: RCUK.

UKRIO (2008). *Procedure for the investigation of misconduct in research*. London: UKRIO.

UKRIO (2009). *UKRIO code of practice for research: Promoting good practice and preventing misconduct*. London: UKRIO.

## **United States**

NIH (1999). *A guide to training and mentoring in the intramural research program at NIH*. Rockville, MD: NIH.

## Websites

- AAAS (2007). *The AAAS - Office of Research Integrity (ORI): Bibliography*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.aaas.org/spp/sfrr/projects/ori.shtml>
- CCAC (2010). *About the CCAC*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://www.ccac.ca/en/CCAC\\_Main.htm](http://www.ccac.ca/en/CCAC_Main.htm)
- CIHI (2006). *CIHI—Taking health information further*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://www.cihi.ca/cihiweb/dispPage.jsp?cw\\_page=profile\\_e](http://www.cihi.ca/cihiweb/dispPage.jsp?cw_page=profile_e)
- CIHI (2006). *Funding: How is CIHI funded?* Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://www.cihi.ca/cihiweb/dispPage.jsp?cw\\_page=profile\\_cihi\\_funding\\_e](http://www.cihi.ca/cihiweb/dispPage.jsp?cw_page=profile_cihi_funding_e)
- CIHR (2010). *Inter-agency collaboration*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/34623.html>
- Committee on Publication Ethics (2010). *Homepage*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://publicationethics.org/>
- Creative Commons Canada (2010). *Moral rights FAQ*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://creativecommons.ca/index.php?p=moralrights>
- ERC (2010). *A strong ethical culture is key to cutting misconduct on the job*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.ethics.org/news/strong-ethical-culture-key-cutting-misconduct-job>
- ERC (2010). *Fear of backlash for reporting misconduct is a sure sign of an ethically challenged workplace*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.ethics.org/nbes-retaliation>
- ESF (2010). *ESF member organisation forum on research integrity*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.esf.org/activities/mo-fora/research-integrity.html>
- NCEHR (2009). *Terms of reference*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.ncehr-cnerh.org/en/about-us/mission.html>
- NCEHR (2009). *Sponsors*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.ncehr-cnerh.org/en/about-us/sponsors.html>
- NSERC (2009). *Industry partners*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/Partners-Partenaires/Industry-Industrie\\_eng.asp](http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/Partners-Partenaires/Industry-Industrie_eng.asp)
- NSF (2009). *Office of Inspector General*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.nsf.gov/oig/>

NSF (2009). *Our mission, vision, and values*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.nsf.gov/oig/mandv.jsp>

ORI (2009). *About ORI*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://ori.hhs.gov/about/index.shtml>

Office of the Ombudsman (2009). *Ombudsman der DFG*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://www1.uni-hamburg.de/dfg\\_ombud/](http://www1.uni-hamburg.de/dfg_ombud/)

PRE (2009). *Terms of reference*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.pre.ethics.gc.ca/eng/panel-group/about-apropos/reference/>

UKRIO (n.d.). *The UK Research Integrity Office (UKRIO)*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://www.ukrio.org.uk/sites/ukrio2/uk\\_research\\_integrity\\_office\\_\\_ukrio\\_/index.cfm](http://www.ukrio.org.uk/sites/ukrio2/uk_research_integrity_office__ukrio_/index.cfm)

UNESCO (2010). *Ethics of science and technology*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://portal.unesco.org/shs/en/ev.php-URL\\_ID=1373&URL\\_DO=DO\\_TOPIC&URL\\_SECTION=201.html](http://portal.unesco.org/shs/en/ev.php-URL_ID=1373&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html)

### **Articles & Conferences Proceedings**

Adams, D., & Pimple, K. D. (2005). Research misconduct and crime lessons from criminal science on preventing misconduct and promoting integrity. *Accountability in Research*, 12(3), 225-240.

Agnew, R. (1992). Foundation for a general strain theory of crime and delinquency. *Criminology*, 30(1), 47-87.

Andersen, H. (2007, August). *Demarcating misconduct from misinterpretations and mistakes*. Paper presented at the First Biannual SPSP Conference, University of Twente, Enschede, Netherlands.

Anderson, M. S., Louis, K. S., & Earle, J. (1994). Disciplinary and departmental effects on observations of faculty and graduate student misconduct. *Journal of Higher Education*, 65(3), 331-350.

Anderson, M. S., Martinson, B. C., & de Vries, R. (2007). Normative dissonance in science: Results from a National Survey of US scientists. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*, 2(4), 3-14.

Anderson, M. S., Horn, A. S., Risbey, K. R., Ronning, E. A., de Vries, R., & Martinson, B. C. (2007). What do mentoring and training in the responsible conduct of research have to do with scientists' misbehavior? Findings from a national survey of NIH-funded scientists. *Academic Medicine*, 82(9), 853-860.

- Anderson, M. S., Ronning, E. A., de Vries, R., & Martinson, B. C. (2007). The perverse effects of competition on scientists' work and relationships. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 13(4), 437-461.
- Anderson, M. S., Ronning, E. A., de Vries, R., & Martinson, B. C. (2010). Extending the Mertonian norms: Scientists' subscription to norms of research. *Journal of Higher Education*, 81(3), 366-393.
- Antes, A. L., Murphy, S. T., Waples, E. P., Mumford, M. D., Brown, R. P., Connelly, S., et al. (2009). A meta-analysis of ethics instruction effectiveness in the sciences. *Ethics & Behavior*, 19(5), 379-402.
- Baerlocher, M. O., O'Brien, J., Newton, M., Gautam, T., & Noble, J. (2010). Data integrity, reliability and fraud in medical research. *European Journal of Internal Medicine*, 21, 40-45.
- Baier, E., & Dupraz, L. (2007). Individual and institutional liability of researchers in the case of scientific fraud: Values and ethics. *Higher Education Management and Policy*, 19(3), 1-15.
- Binet, A. (2009). *The end of the mad professor?* Retrieved December 8, 2009 from [http://ec.europa.eu/research/infocentre/article\\_en.cfm?id=/research/research-eu/60/article\\_6030\\_en.html&item=Science%20%26%20society&artid=13222](http://ec.europa.eu/research/infocentre/article_en.cfm?id=/research/research-eu/60/article_6030_en.html&item=Science%20%26%20society&artid=13222)
- Blomley, N., & Davis, S. (1998). *Russel Ogden decision review*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.sfu.ca/~palys/ogden.htm>
- Blumenthal, D., Campbell, E. G., Gokhale, M., Yucel, R., Clarridge, B., Hilgartner, S., et al. (2006). Data withholding in genetics and the other life sciences: Prevalences and predictors. *Journal of Academic Medicine*, 81(2), 137-145.
- Braxton, J. M. (1997). Perspectives on research misconduct- Introduction. *Journal of Higher Education*, 65(3), 239-241.
- Braxton, J. M., & Bayer, A. E (1994). Perceptions of research misconduct and an analysis of their correlates. *Journal of Higher Education*, 65(3), 351-372.
- Campbell, E. G., Weissman, J. S., Causino, N., & Blumenthal, D. (2000). Data withholding in academic medicine: Characteristics of faculty denied access to research results and biomaterials. *Journal of Research Policy*, 29, 303-312.
- Campbell, E. G., Weissman, J. S., Ehringhaus, S., Rao, S. R., Moy, B., Feibelman, S., et al. (2007). Institutional academic-industry relationships. *JAMA*, 298(15), 1779-1786.

- Campo-Ruiz, V. (2010). *Research integrity in Europe and the role of the European Science Foundation* (Powerpoint Slides). Paper presented at the NCEHR Conference on Research Integrity in Canada 2010. Ottawa, ON.
- Charlton, B. G. (2010). First and second things, and the operations of conscience in science. *Medical Hypotheses*, 74, 1-3.
- Clarke, R. (2006). Plagiarism by academics: More complex than it seems. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 7(2), 91-121.
- Cossette, P. (2004). Research integrity: An exploratory survey of administrative science faculties. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 49(3), 213-234.
- Cragg, W. (2000). Accountability, ethics, and the academy: Lessons from business ethics and the private sector. *Canadian Journal of Higher Education*, 3, 1-36.
- Cuénod, M. R., Blum, A., Brückner, C., Burger, M. M., Geering, K., Hess, C., *et al.* (2003). Integrity in science. *Swiss Medical Weekly*, 133, 52-58.
- Davis, M. S., Riske-Morris, M., & Diaz, S. R. (2007). Causal factors implicated in research misconduct: Evidence from ORI case files. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 13(4), 395-414.
- Denecke, D. (2009, October). *Model programs: Engaging strategic university leaders in research and scholarly integrity* (PowerPoint slides). Paper presented at the ESF-ORI Workshop on the Responsible Conduct of Research. Strasbourg, France.
- de Vries, R., Anderson, M. S., & Martinson, B. C. (2006). Normal misbehavior: Scientists talk about the ethics of research. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*, 1(1), 43-50.
- ESF (2008). *Most European countries equipped to safeguard research integrity, ESF report finds*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.aecomunicacioncientifica.org/es/noticias/alphagalileo/16290.html>
- Fanelli, D. (2009). How many scientists fabricate and falsify research? A systematic review and meta-analysis of survey data. *PLoS ONE*, 4(5), e5738.
- Fanelli, D. (2010). Do pressures to publish increase scientists' bias? An empirical support from US states data. *PLoS ONE*, 5(4), e10271.
- Fischer, B. A., & Zigmond, M. J. (2001). Promoting responsible research conduct through 'survival skills' workshops: Some mentoring is best done in a crowd. *Science & Engineering Ethics*, 7, 563-587.

- Fisher, C. B., Fried, A. L., Goodman, S. J., & Germano, K. K. (2009). Measures of mentoring, department climate, and graduate student preparedness in the responsible conduct of psychological research. *Ethics & Behavior*, 19(3), 227-252.
- Flanagin, A., Carey, L. A., Fontanarosa, P. B., Phillips, S. G., Pace, B. P., Lundberg, G. D., et al. (1998). Prevalence of articles with honorary authors and ghost authors in peer-reviewed medical journals. *JAMA*, 280(3), 222-224.
- Folger, R. (1977). Distributive and procedural justice: Combined impact of "voice" and improvement on experience inequity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 35(2), 108-119.
- Forsman, B. (1999). Ethical analysis of the phenomenon of misconduct in research. *Acta Oncologica*, 38(1), 107-110.
- Fox, M. F. (1994). Scientific misconduct and editorial peer review process. *Journal of Higher Education*, 65(3), 298-309.
- Fox, M. F., & Braxton, J. M. (1994). Misconduct and social control in science: Issues, problems, solutions. *Journal of Higher Education*, 65(3), 373-383.
- Gardner, W., Lidz, C. W., & Hartwig, K. C. (2005). Authors' reports about research integrity problems in clinical trials. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*, 26(2), 244-251.
- Gibelman, M., & Gelman, S. R. (2005). Scientific misconduct in social welfare research: Preventive lessons from other fields. *Work Education*, 24(3), 275-295.
- Glanzel, W. (2001). National characteristics in international scientific co-authorship relations. *Scientometrics*, 51(1), 69-115.
- Goodstein, D. (1991, Winter). Scientific fraud. *Engineering & Science*, 11.
- Goodstein, D. (2000). *How science works*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.its.caltech.edu/~dg/HowScien.pdf>
- Gotzsche, P. C., Hrobjartsson, A., Johansen, H. K., Haahr, M. T., Altman, D. G., & Chan, A.-W. (2007). Ghost authorship in industry-initiated randomised trials. *PLoS Medicine*, 4(1), 0047-0052.
- Grinnell, F. (1999). Ambiguity, trust, and the responsible conduct of research. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 5(2), 205-214.
- Grinnell, F. (2005). Misconduct: Acceptable practices differ by field. *Nature*, 436(7052), 776.
- Grose, S. (2010). Committee planned to weigh misconduct in Australia. *Nature Medicine*, 16(6), 620.



- Gunsalus, C. K. (1998). Preventing the need for whistleblowing: Practical advice for university administrators. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 4, 75-94.
- Guston, D. H. (1999). Changing explanatory frameworks in the US government's attempt to define research misconduct. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 5, 137-154.
- Hackett, E. J. (1994). A social control perspective on scientific misconduct. *Journal of Higher Education*, 65(3), 242-260.
- Heitman, E., & Bulger, R. E. (2005). Assessing the educational literature in the responsible conduct of research for core content. *Accountability in Research*, 12(3), 207-224.
- Herman, K. G., Sunshine, P. L., Fisher, M. K., Zwolenik, J. J., & Herz, C. H. (1994). Investigating misconduct in science: The National Science Foundation model. *Journal of Higher Education*, 65(3), 384-400.
- Hoffman, D. A., Jacobs, R. R., & Landy, F. J. (1995). High reliability process industries: Individual micro, and macro organizational influences on safety performance. *Journal of Safety Research*, 26, 131-149.
- Jones, B. F., Wuchty, S., & Uzzi, B. (2008). Multi-university research teams: Shifting impact, geography, and stratification in science. *Science*, 322(5905), 1259-1262.
- Kalichman, M. W. (2007). Responding to challenges in educating for the responsible conduct of research. *Academic Medicine*, 82(9), 870-875.
- Kalichman, M. W., & Friedman, P. J. (1992). A pilot study of biomedical trainees' perceptions concerning research ethics. *Academic Medicine*, 67, 769-775.
- Keith-Spiegel, P., & Koocher, G. P. (2005). The IRB paradox: Could the protectors also encourage deceit? *Ethics & Behavior*, 15(4), 339-349.
- Kondro, W. (2005). Université de Montréal in the dark about fraud. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 172(10), 1278.
- Kondro, W. (2007). Call for arm's-length national research integrity agency. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 176(6), 749-750.
- Kumar, M. N. (2010). A theoretical comparison of the models of prevention of research misconduct. *Accountability in Research*, 17(2), 51-66.
- LaFollette, M. C. (1994). The politics of research misconduct: Congressional oversight, universities, and science. *Journal of Higher Education*, 65(3), 261-285.
- Lemmens, T. (2004). Leopards in the temple: Restoring scientific integrity to the commercialized research scene. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*, 2(4), 641-657.

- Macaskill, P., Walter, S. D., & Irwig, L. (2001). A comparison of methods to detect publication bias in meta-analysis. *Statistics in Medicine*, 20, 641-654.
- MacDonald, C., & Williams-Jones, B. (2009). Supervisor-student relations: Examining the spectrum of conflicts of interest in bioscience laboratories. *Accountability in Research*, 16, 106-126.
- Martinson, B. C., Anderson, M. S., Crain, A. L., & de Vries, R. (2006). Scientists' perceptions of organizational justice and self-reported misbehaviors. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*, 1(1), 51-66.
- Martinson, B. C., Crain, A. L., Anderson, M. S., & de Vries, R. (2009). Institutions' expectations for researchers' self-funding, federal grant holding, and private industry involvement: Manifold drivers of self-interest and researcher behavior. *Academic Medicine*, 84(11), 1491-1499.
- Martinson, B. C., Crain, A. L., de Vries, R., & Anderson, M. S. (2010). The importance of organizational justice in ensuring research integrity. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*, 5(3), 67-83.
- Marusic, A., Katavic, V., & Marusic, M. (2007). Role of editors and journals in detecting and preventing scientific misconduct: Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats. *Medicine and Law*, 26(3), 545-566.
- McCormick, J., Boyce, A. M., & Cho, M. K. (2009). Biomedical scientists' perceptions of ethical and social implications: Is there a role for research ethics consultation? *PLoS ONE*, 4(3), e4659.
- Meyer, M. J., & McMahon, D. (2004). An examination of ethical research conduct by experienced and novice accounting academics. *Issues in Accounting Education*, 19(4), 413-442.
- Montgomery, K., & Oliver, A. (2009). Shifts in guidelines for ethical scientific conduct: How public and private organizations create and change norms of research integrity. *Social Studies of Science*, 39(1), 137-155.
- Mumford, M. D., Murphy, S. T., Connelly, S., Hill, J. H., Antes, A. L., Brown, R. P., *et al.* (2006). Environmental influences on ethical decision making: Climate and environmental predictors of research integrity. *Ethics & Behavior*, 17(4), 337-366.
- Mumford, M. D., Waples, E. P., Antes, A. L., Murphy, S. T., Connelly, S., Brown, R. P., *et al.* (2009). Exposure to unethical career events: Effects on decision making, climate, and socialization. *Ethics & Behavior*, 19(5), 351-378.
- NCEHR (2010, February 19). *Research integrity in Canada*. Ottawa, ON. General proceedings of the NCEHR Conference on Research Integrity in Canada 2010. Ottawa, ON.

- Nylenna, M., & Simonsen, S. (2006). Scientific misconduct: A new approach to prevention. *The Lancet*, 367, 1882-1884.
- Olson, L. (2010). Developing a framework for assessing responsible conduct of research education programs. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 16(1), 185-200.
- Pew Research Centre for the People & the Press (2009). *Public praises science; scientists fault public, media*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://people-press.org/report/528/>
- Preckel, D., Känel, R. V., Kudielka, B. M., & Fischer, J. E. (2005). Overcommitment to work is associated with vital exhaustion. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 78(2), 117-122.
- Price, A. R. (1994). Definitions and boundaries of research misconduct: Perspectives from a federal government viewpoint. *Journal of Higher Education*, 65(3), 286-297.
- Resnik, D. (2003). From Baltimore Bell labs: Reflections on two decades of debate about scientific misconduct. *Accountability in Research*, 10, 123-135.
- Resnik, D. B., Gutierrez-Ford, C., & Peddada, S. (2008). Perceptions of ethical problems with scientific journal peer review: An exploratory study. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 14, 305-310.
- Rhodes, R., & Strain, J. J. (2004). Whistleblowing in academic medicine. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 30(1), 35-39.
- Rochon, P. A., Hoey, J., Chan, A.-W., Ferris, L. E., Lexchin, J., Kalkar, S. R., *et al.* (2010). Financial conflicts of interest checklist 2010 for clinical research studies. *Open Medicine*, 4(1), e69-91.
- Rochon, P. A., Sekeres, M., Lexchin, J., Moher, D., Kalkar, S. R., Van Laethem, M., *et al.* Institutional financial conflicts of interest policies at Canadian academic health science centres: A national survey. *Open Medicine*, 4(3), e134-138.
- Rowe, S., Alexander, N., Clydesdale, F., Applebaum, R., Atkinson, S., Black, R., *et al.* (2009). Funding food science and nutrition research: Financial conflicts and scientific integrity. *Nutrition Reviews*, 67(5), 264-272.
- Shuchman, M. (2010, May 6). Research ethics council faces dissolution after government yanks funding. *Canadian Medical Association Journal News*. Retrieved July 13, 2010 from <http://www.cmaj.ca/earlyreleases/6may10-research-ethics-council-faces-dissolution.dtl>
- Sismondo, S. (2008). Pharmaceutical company funding and its consequences: A qualitative systematic review. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*, 29, 109-113.

- Smith, E., & Williams-Jones, B. (2009). Legalistic or inspirational? Comparing university conflict of interest policies. *Higher Education Policy*, 22(4), 433-459.
- Smith, R. (2006). Research misconduct: The poisoning of the well. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 99, 232-237.
- Sovacool, B. K. (2008). Exploring scientific misconduct: Isolated individuals, impure institutions, or an inevitable idiom of modern science? *Bioethical Inquiry*, 5, 271-282.
- Sox, H. C., & Rennie, D. (2006). Research misconduct, retraction, and cleansing the medical literature: Lessons from the Poehlman case. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 144(8), 609-613.
- Steneck, N. H. (1994). Research universities and scientific misconduct: History, policies, and the future. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 65(3), 310-330.
- Steneck, N. H. (1999). Confronting misconduct in science in the 1980s and 1990s: What has and has not been accomplished? *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 5, 161-176.
- Steneck, N. H. (2002). Institutional and individual responsibilities for integrity in research. *The American Journal of Bioethics*, 2(4), 51-53.
- Steneck, N. H. (2003). The role of professional societies in promoting integrity in research. *American Journal of Health Behavior*, 27, S239-S247.
- Steneck, N. H. (2006). Fostering integrity in research: Definitions, current knowledge, and future directions. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 12(1), 53-74.
- Steneck, N. H., & Bulger, R. E. (2007). The history, purpose, and future of instruction in the responsible conduct of research. *Academic Medicine*, 82(9), 829-834.
- Thrush, C. R., Putten, J. V., Rapp, C. G., Pearson, L. C., Berry, K. S., & O'Sullivan, P. S. (2007). Content validation of the organizational climate for research integrity (OCRI) survey. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*, 2(4), 35-52.
- Titus, S. L., Wells, J. A., & Rhoades, L. J. (2008). Repairing research integrity. *Nature*, 453(7198), 980-982.
- Tyler, T. R., & Blader, S. L. (2003). The group engagement model: Procedural justice, social identity, and cooperative behavior. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 7(4), 349-361.
- Vasgird, D. R. (2007). Prevention over cure: The administrative rationale for education in the responsible conduct of research. *Academic Medicine*, 82(9), 835-837.

- Wester, K. L., Willse, J. T., & Davis, M. S. (2008). Responsible conduct of research measure: Initial development and pilot study. *Accountability in Research*, 12(3), 87-104.
- Woolf, P. K. (1991). Accountability and responsibility in research. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 10(8), 595-600.
- Wright, D. E., Titus, S. L., & Cornelison, J. B. (2008). Mentoring and research misconduct: An analysis of research mentoring in closed ORI cases. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 14(3), 323-336.
- Wuchty, S., Jones, B. F., & Uzzi, B. (2007). The increasing dominance of teams in production of knowledge. *Science*, 316(5827), 1036-1039.

## Books

- Agin, D. (2007). *Junk science: An overdue indictment of government, industry, and faith groups that twist science for their own gain*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy (2009). *On being a scientist: Responsible conduct in research* (Third ed.). Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Edwards, J., Tindale, R. S., Heath, L., & Posavac, E. J. (1990). *Social influence processes and prevention*. New York: Plenum Press.
- Folger, R., & Cropanzano, R. (1998). *Organizational justice and human resource management*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publishers.
- IOM and NRC Committee on Assessing Integrity in Research Environments (2002). *Integrity in scientific research: Creating an environment that promotes responsible conduct*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Landy, F. J., & Conte, J. M. (2010). *Work in the 21st century: An introduction to industrial and organizational psychology* (Third ed.). New York: Wiley.
- Macrina, F. L. (2005). Methods, manners, and the responsible conduct of research. In F. L. Macrina (Ed.), *Scientific integrity* (Third ed.), Washington, DC: ASM Press.
- Macrina, F. L. (Ed.). (2005). *Scientific integrity* (Third ed.). Washington, DC: ASM Press.
- May, W. F. (1998). Professional virtue and self-regulation. In J. C. Callahan (Ed.), *Ethical issues in professional life*. New York: Oxford University Press.

National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, & Institute of Medicine (1997). *Adviser, teacher, role model, friend: On being a mentor to students in science and engineering*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

Resnik, D.B. (1998). *The ethics of science: An introduction*. London, New York: Routledge.

Thompson, J. D. (1967). *Organizations in action: Social science bases of administrative theory*. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.

### **Editorials, Addresses, and Commentaries**

A duty to publish [Editorial] (1998, October). *Nature Medicine*, 4(10), 1089.

Alberts, B., & Shine, K. (1994). Scientists and the integrity of research. *Science*, 266(5191), 1660-1661.

Alper, H. (2008). Research integrity: Increased attention has been paid to the issue of research integrity in recent years, with some cases attracting considerable notoriety. *Canadian Chemical News*, 60(8), 1-2.

Andersen, H. (2007, August). *Demarcating misconduct from misinterpretations and mistakes*. Paper presented at the First Biannual SPSP Conference, University of Twente, Enschede, Netherlands.

Anderson, M. S. (2007). Collective openness and other recommendations for the promotion of research integrity. *Science & Engineering Ethics*, 13, 387-394.

Authorship policies [Editorial] (2009, April 30). *Nature*, 458, 1078.

Bogner, A., & Menz, W. (2006). Science crime. The Korean cloning scandal and the role of ethics. *Science and Public Policy*, 33(8), 601-612.

Burgess, L. (Producer). (2006, January 30). *The secret life of Ranjit Chandra* (Television broadcast). Canada: The National.

Canadian Federation of Students (2006). *Whistleblowers: Defending academic freedom*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.cfs-fcee.ca/html/english/research/factsheets/CFS-Fact%20Sheet-Whistleblowers.pdf>

Cookson, C. (2009, May 23). The real lab rats. *Financial Times*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.ft.com/cms/s/2/72985c24-465d-11de-803f-00144feabdc0.html>

Credit where credit is due [Editorial] (2009, December 10). *Nature Cell Biology*. 11(1), 1.

- Cyranoski, D. (2009). Hwang verdict imminent. *Nature*, 461(7267), 1035.
- For authors [Editorial] (2009, June). *Nature Immunology*, 10(6), 553.
- Gotzsche, P. C., Kassirer, J. P., Woolley, K. L., Wager, E., Jacobs, A., Gertel, A., et al. (2009). What should be done to tackle ghostwriting in the medical literature? *PLoS Medicine*, 6(2), e10000023.
- Hayden, E. C. (2009). Key protein-design papers challenged. *Nature*, 461(7266), 859.
- In praise of good mentors [Editorial] (2003, March 27). *Nature*, 422(6930), 359.
- Interlandi, J. (2006, October 22). An unwelcome discovery. *The New York Times*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.nytimes.com/2006/10/22/magazine/22sciencefraud.html?ei=5090&en=f03f2cdfd86fd0a9&ex=1319169600&pagewanted=all>
- Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2005). Why most published research findings are false. *PLoS Medicine*, 2(8), 696-701.
- Joly, P.-B., & Rip, A. (2007). A timely harvest. *Nature*, 450(8), 174.
- Kennedy, D. (2006). Responding to fraud. *Science*, 314(5804), 1353.
- Kleppner, D., & Sharp, P. A. (2009). Research data in the digital age. *Science*, 325, 368.
- Kondro, W. (2007). Call for arm's-length national research integrity agency. *CMAJ*, 176(6), 749-750.
- Kondro, W. (2009). Research misconduct agency would undermine "academic self-governance," study says. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 181(12), 887-888.
- Kondro, W., & Hébert, P. C. (2007). Research misconduct? What misconduct? *CMAJ*, 176(7), 905.
- Laidlaw, S. (2009, June 22). Drug 'reports' found to be faked. *Toronto Star*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.thestar.com/living/article/654423>
- Laidlaw, S. (2009, August 22). Canadian named in HRT scandal. *Toronto Star*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.thestar.com/article/684982>
- Loadsman, J. (2009). Plagiarism: Transparency required. *Science*, 325, 813.
- Long, T. C., Errami, M., George, A. C., Sun, Z., & Garner, H. R. (2009). Responding to possible plagiarism. *Science*, 323, 1293-1294.

- Martinson, B. C., Anderson, M. S., & de Vries, R. (2005). Scientists behaving badly. *Nature*, 435(7043), 737-738.
- Molaei, G. (2009). Measures urgently required to prevent multiple submissions. *Nature*, 461(7265), 723.
- Moreno, J. (2009). *Lab bench ethics*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.scienceprogress.org/2009/08/lab-bench-ethics/>
- Nisbet, M. (2003). *The skeptical environmentalist: A case study in the manufacture of news*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from [http://www.csicop.org/specialarticles/show/skeptical\\_environmentalist\\_a\\_case\\_study\\_in\\_the\\_manufacture\\_of\\_news/](http://www.csicop.org/specialarticles/show/skeptical_environmentalist_a_case_study_in_the_manufacture_of_news/)
- Nossal, G. J. V. (2004). Mentors and manipulation. *Nature*, 429, 811.
- Redman, B. K., & Caplan, A. L. (2005). Off with their heads: The need to criminalize some forms of scientific misconduct. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*, 33(2), 345-348.
- Richman, V. V., & Richman, A. (2007). Enhancing research integrity. *CMAJ*, 177(4), 375.
- Roig, M. (2009). Plagiarism: Consider the context. *Science*, 325, 813-814.
- Schroter, S., Black, N., Evans, S., Carpenter, J., Godlee, F., & Smith, R. (2004). Effects of training on quality of peer review: Randomised controlled trial. *British Medical Journal*, 328, 673.
- Science (2006). *Hwang et al. Controversy - Committee report, response, and background*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.sciencemag.org/sciext/hwang2005/>
- Service, R. F. (2009). A dark tale behind two retractions. *Science*, 326, 1610-1611.
- Smaglik, P. (2005). Model mentors. *Nature*, 434, 802-803.
- Smith, M. (2007, February 12). Data storage could end fraud. *University Affairs*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.universityaffairs.ca/data-storage-could-end-fraud.aspx>
- Striving for excellence in peer review [Editorial] (2009, January). *Nature Neuroscience*, 12(1), 1.
- Teaching responsible conduct of research [Editorial] (2009, November 7). *The Lancet*, 374(9701), 1568.



Tierney, J. (2010, January 26). Corporate backing for research? Get over it. *The New York Times*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.nytimes.com/2010/01/26/science/26tier.html>

Titus, S. L., Wells, J. A., & Rhoades, L. J. (2008). Repairing research integrity. *Nature*, 453 (7198), 980-982.

Trust, but verify [Editorial] (2009, September 17). *Nature*, 461(7262), 315.

Wenneras, C., & Wold, A. (1997). Nepotism and sexism in peer-review. *Nature*, 387, 341-343.

Wilson, D., & Singer, N. (2009, September 2009). Ghostwriting is called rife in medical journals. *The New York Times*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.nytimes.com/2009/09/11/business/11ghost.html>

Wright, D. E., Titus, S. L., & Cornelison, J. B. (2008). Mentoring and research misconduct: An analysis of research mentoring in closed ORI cases. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 14, 323-336.

## **Resources Available in French Only**

### **Reports**

Audy, S. (2002). *Pour une intégrité en recherche: Pour le Comité de liaison en éthique de la recherche de l'UdeM (CLERUM)*. Montréal: Université de Montréal.

Audy, S. (2006). *Le Plan d'action ministériel en éthique de la recherche et en intégrité scientifique : une entreprise insensée?* Québec: Ministère de la Santé et des Services Sociaux.

### **Policies - Canadian Post-Secondary Institutions and Funding Agencies**

CÉGEP de Rimouski (2002). *Politique d'intégrité en recherche*. Rimouski: CÉGEP de Rimouski.

CÉGEP de Sherbrooke (2009). *Politique d'intégrité en recherche*. Sherbrooke: CÉGEP de Sherbrooke.

Collège Shawinigan (2009). *Politique d'intégrité sur la recherche*. Shawinigan: Collège Shawinigan.

Comité d'éthique de la recherche et d'intégrité scientifique du FRSQ (2008). *Standards du FRSQ sur l'éthique de la recherche en santé humaine et l'intégrité scientifique*. Montréal: Fonds de la Recherche en Santé du Québec.

Commission de l'éthique de la science et de la technologie (2005). *Le plagiat électronique dans les travaux scolaires: Pour une réflexion éthique*. Sainte-Foy: Commission de l'éthique de la science et de la technologie.

Couture, V., Smith, E., & Williams-Jones, B. (2007). *Rapport sur les conflits d'intérêts à l'Université de Montréal : éthique, pratiques et politiques*. Montréal: Université de Montréal.

L'École Polytechnique (n.d.). *Politique relative à l'intégrité et aux conflits d'intérêts en recherche*. Montréal: L'École Polytechnique.

Godard, B., & Lévesque, L. (n.d.). *La performance scientifique et l'intégrité en recherche*. Montréal: L'École Polytechnique.

Université Laval (2009). *Politique sur l'intégrité en recherche et création et sur les conflits d'intérêts*. Laval: Université Laval.

Université de Moncton (2000). *Politique d'intégrité en recherche*. Moncton: Université de Moncton.

Université de Montréal (2004). *Politique de l'Université de Montréal sur la probité intellectuelle en recherche*. Montréal: Université de Montréal.

Université de Montréal (2009). *Guide de présentation et d'évaluation des mémoires et des thèses de doctorat*. Montréal: Université de Montréal.

Université du Québec (n.d.). *La politique-cadre d'intégrité en recherche*. Québec: Université du Québec.

UQÀM (2007). *Politique relative à l'intégrité en recherche*. Montréal: UQÀM.

Université de Sherbrooke (2006). *Politique, règles et procédures sur l'intégrité en recherche et sur les conflits d'intérêts*. Sherbrooke: Université de Sherbrooke.

## Articles

Association pour la recherche au collégial (2007). Recherche, intégrité et éthique: À aborder distinctement mais conjointement. *Pédagogie Collégiale*, 21(1), 20-26.

Taylor-Vaisey, N. (2008, December 11). Étude canadienne sur l'inconduite en matière de recherche entreprise par un comité dirigé par Santé Canada. *Affaires universitaires*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.affairesuniversitaires.ca/etude->

canadienne-sur-linconduite-en-matiere-de-recherche-entreprise-par-un-comite-dirige-par-sante-canada.aspx

### **Sites Web**

Centre national de la recherche scientifique (2010). *Comité d'Éthique du CNRS (COMETS)*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.cnrs.fr/fr/organisme/ethique/comets/index.htm>

Institut national de la santé et de la recherche médicale (n.d.). *Délégation à l'intégrité scientifique (DIS)*. Retrieved September 8, 2010 from <http://www.inserm.fr/qu-est-ce-que-l-inserm/organigramme/comites/dis>